Favorable consideration and allowance of claims 1-4 are respectfully

requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Ujiie (US 6,748,346). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection as set forth

below.

Claim 1 is amended to recite a shape changing element whose shape

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by

becomes different shapes between before and after assembling and other

common elements whose shapes do not become different shapes between before

and after assembling. Support for these amendments is present, for example, in

Applicant's specification at p. 10, line 9 – p. 11, line 3. Claim 3 is amended in a

manner analogous to claim 1. Claims 5 and 6 are canceled herein.

Applicant submits that Uijie fails to teach or suggest all of the limitations

of the method of creating two-dimensional drawings based on a three-

dimensional model claimed in claim 1. In particular, Ujiie does not disclose the

features of "holding, in three-dimensional shape information of a parts model

having a shape changing element whose shape becomes different shapes between

before and after assembling and other common elements whose shapes do not

become different shapes between before and after assembling, three-dimensional

information on the shape before assembling and the shape after assembling of

the shape changing element and the shape of the common elements," and

Page 5 of 11

Amendment Dated: June 19, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 17, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 038921.58289US

"developing the held three-dimensional information into the two-dimensional

drawing in accordance with each of the restriction conditions, based on the

operation program of the memory source."

In a two-dimensional drawings creation method of creating two-

dimensional drawings based on a three-dimensional model by using a computer

source, the characteristics provide a step of holding, in three-dimensional shape

information of a parts model having a shape changing element whose shape

becomes different shape between before and after assembling and other common

elements whose shapes does not becomes different shape between before and

after assembling, three-dimensional information on the shape before assembling

and the shape after assembling of the shape changing element and the shape

before assembling of the shape changing element and the shape of the common

element to become a single part to each other, a step of setting a restriction

condition between the shape after assembling of the shape changing element and

the shape of the common elements to become a single part to each other, and a

step of developing the held three-dimensional information into the two-

dimensional drawing in accordance with each of the restriction conditions, based

on the operation program of the memory source.

Thus, in amended claim 1 the parts model and the two-dimensional

drawing are matched by setting restriction conditions, i.e., the first condition

between the shape before assembling of the shape changing element and the

Page 6 of 11

Amendment Dated: June 19, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 17, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 038921.58289US

shape of the common element to become a single part to each other and the

second condition between the shape after assembling of the shape changing

element and the shape of the common elements to become a single part to each

other. The shape of parts with "the shape changing element" between before and

after is different for each status. Thus, amended claim 1 can set by restriction

the parts model between the shape before and after assembling of the shape

changing elements, and therefore the parts model and the two-dimensional

drawing can match correctly without conflict of above two parts model as

described in paragraph [0006] of Applicant's specification.

Further, the restriction condition can create the two-dimensional drawing

for the before assembling status by setting to the shape before assembling of the

shape changing element and the shape of the common element, also, can create

the two-dimensional drawing for the after assembling status by setting to the

shape after assembling of the shape changing element and the shape of the

common element. Therefore, a two-dimensional drawing can be created efficiently, since the common element can use commonly only select the

restriction condition for each status without providing the shape before and after

assembling individually.

Ujiie discloses an interference verifying device and method for checking

whether or not an assembly can be made by performing interference verification

and checking a part shape change in relation to the assembly route which the

Page 7 of 11

Amendment Dated: June 19, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 17, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 038921.58289US

route on the parts to be assembled move from current positions to target position

in the product assembly process.

The assembly simulator 25 in Ujiie determines whether or not the selected

part reaches the final position while moving the selected part along the specified

route (step 3, 4). When the selected position does not reach yet to the final

position (the finish position of assembly), the interference verification unit 29

determines whether or not interference (contact) with the counter part occurs

while moving (step S5).

If the interference with the counter (different) part is detected, an element

of part of an interference partner has been replaced with the shape after being

changed (Step S6). If the interference partner is not changed, the library 28 is

searched by using the library accessing unit 27, and determines whether or not

the element is registered as an element permitting transformation (Step S7).

In step S4, the interference verification unit 20 inquiries of the user

whether or not the original shape saved to the save area is reproduced when the

selected part reaches the final position (Step S12).

When the user instructs reproduction, the changed shape is replaced with

the original shape, and the display controlling unit 30 displays the original shape

(Step S13). Thereafter, the interference verification unit 29 makes interference

checking in the final assembly state by using the original shape (Step S14). If

interference is not detected in the final assembly state, the result of the

Page 8 of 11

Amendment Dated: June 19, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 17, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 038921 58289US

determination indicating that assembly along the specified assembly route is

In other words, Ujiie discloses checking a part shape change during to the

assembly route (start to the final assembly state). If the interference with the

counter (different) part is detected before final assembly state, the interference

verification unit 29 judges as registered an element permitting transformation.

Finally, in the final assembly state, the interference verification unit 29 judges

the interference state by using the original shape, if interference is not detected

in the final assembly state, the result of the determination indicates that

assembly along the specified assembly route is feasible. If all of above condition

for 1 to 3 are satisfied, the assembly is judged as to feasible.

feasible.

In the two-dimensional drawings creation method of creating two-

dimensional drawings based on a three-dimensional model by using a computer

source by Applicant's amended claim 1, the parts model is matched to the two-

dimensional drawing with efficient process. The parts applying to claim 1 should

satisfy the shapes that are changed between before and after assembling (that is,

the shape of parts between before and after assembling are different in each

state such as the part applied with caulking work or the like). According to

above the precondition, amended claim 1 can set by restriction the parts model

between the shape before and after assembling of "the shape changing elements,"

therefore the parts model and the two-dimensional drawing can match correctly

Page 9 of 11

Amendment Dated: June 19, 2008

Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 17, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 038921.58289US

without conflict of the above two parts model [0006] Applicant's specification and

the two-dimensional drawing with efficient process (Figs. 2 and 3).

To the contrary, Ujiie discloses the interference verifying device and

method for checking whether or not the assembly can be made by performing

interference verification checking a part shape change in relation to the

assembly route which the route on the parts to be assembled move from current

positions to target position in the product assembly process. Further, in

checking a part shape change during to the assembly route (from start to the

final assembly state), if the interference with the different part is detected before

final assembly state, the interference verification can judge an element

permitting transformation, in the final assembly state by using the original

shape, if interference is not detected in the final assembly state, the result of the

determination indicating that assembly along the specified assembly route is

feasible. In other words, Uijie only refers to whether or not the shape registered

an element permitting transformation or the original shape in the course of

assembly. If the shape satisfies the feasible condition for assembly, the shape by

judging the interference verification is equivalent between before and after state.

Accordingly, Ujiie does not disclose the two-dimensional drawings creation

method of creating two-dimensional drawings based on a three-dimensional

model by using a computer as claimed in amended claim 1. Therefore, claim 1 is

patentable over Ujiie.

Page 10 of 11

Serial No. 10/594,079 Amendment Dated: June 19, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 17, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 038921.58289US

Claim 2 is patentable due to its dependence from claim 1.

Claim 3 is patentable for reasons analogous to those for claim 1. Claim 4

is patentable due to its dependence from claim 3.

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #038921.58289US).

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Respectfully submitted.

Cam W Bill

June 19, 2008

Jeffrey D. Sanok

Registration No. 32,169 Cameron W. Beddard Registration No. 46,545

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JDS:CWB:crr

5981397